CSM LEGAL, P.C. 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165 Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620 Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DIEGO CANTU GUEVARA, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

-against-

LEGACY CONTRACTOR NYC LLC (D/B/A LEGACY CONTRACTORS NYC) and MARTIN JOSEPH BRUTON,

COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER 29 U.S.C. § 216(b)

ECF Case

Defendants.
 X

Plaintiff Diego Cantu Guevara ("Plaintiff Cantu" or "Mr. Cantu"), individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, by and through his attorneys, CSM Legal, P.C., upon his knowledge and belief, and as against Legacy Contractor NYC LLC (d/b/a Legacy Contractors NYC), ("Defendant Corporation") and Martin Joseph Bruton, ("Individual Defendant"), (collectively, "Defendants"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF ACTION

- Plaintiff Cantu is a former employee of Defendants Legacy Contractor NYC LLC
 (d/b/a Legacy Contractors NYC) and Martin Joseph Bruton.
- 2. Defendants own, operate, or control a construction company, located at 25-52 Crescent Street, Astoria, New York 11102, under the name "Legacy Contractors NYC".

- 3. Upon information and belief, individual Defendant Martin Joseph Bruton, serves or served as owner, manager, principal, or agent of Defendant Corporation and, through this corporate entity, operates or operated the construction corporation as a joint or unified enterprise.
- 4. Plaintiff Cantu was employed as a brick water proofer at the construction corporation located at 25-52 Crescent Street, Astoria, New York 11102.
- 5. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Plaintiff Cantu worked for Defendants in excess of 40 hours per week, without appropriate overtime compensation for the hours that he worked.
- 6. Rather, Defendants failed to maintain accurate recordkeeping of the hours worked and failed to pay Plaintiff Cantu appropriately for any hours worked, either at the straight rate of pay or for any additional overtime premium.
 - 7. Furthermore, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff Cantu wages on a timely basis.
- 8. In this regard, Defendants have failed to provide timely wages to Plaintiff Cantu Defendants' conduct extended beyond Plaintiff Cantu to all other similarly situated employees.
- 9. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Cantu and other employees to work in excess of forty (40) hours per week without providing the overtime compensation required by federal and state law and regulations.
- 10. Plaintiff Cantu now brings this action on behalf of himself, and other similarly situated individuals, for unpaid overtime wages pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), and for violations of the N.Y. Labor Law §§ 190 *et seq.* and 650 *et seq.* (the "NYLL"), including applicable liquidated damages, interest, attorneys' fees and costs.

11. Plaintiff Cantu seeks certification of this action as a collective action on behalf of himself, individually, and all other similarly situated employees and former employees of Defendants pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 12. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question) and the FLSA, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff Cantu's state law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 13. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c) because all, or a substantial portion of, the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district, Defendants maintain their corporate headquarters and offices within this district, and Defendants operate a construction company located in this district. Further, Plaintiff Cantu was employed by Defendants in this district.

PARTIES

Plaintiff

- 14. Plaintiff Diego Cantu Guevara ("Plaintiff Cantu" or "Mr. Cantu") is an adult individual residing in Bronx County, New York.
- 15. Plaintiff Cantu was employed by Defendants at Legacy Contractors NYC LLC from approximately June 5, 2023, until on or about November 21, 2023.
- 16. Plaintiff Cantu consents to being a party plaintiff pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and brings these claims based upon the allegations herein as a representative party of a prospective class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Defendants

- 17. At all relevant times, Defendants own, operate, or control a construction company, located at 25-52 Crescent Street, Astoria, New York 11102 under the name "Legacy Contractors NYC".
- 18. Upon information and belief, Legacy Contractor NYC LLC (d/b/a Legacy Contractors NYC) is a domestic corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York. Upon information and belief, it maintains its principal place of business at 25-52 Crescent Street, Astoria, New York 11102.
- 19. Defendant Martin Joseph Bruton is an individual engaging (or who was engaged) in business in this judicial district during the relevant time period. Defendant Martin Joseph Bruton is sued individually in his capacity as a manager of Defendant Corporation. Defendant Martin Joseph Bruton possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation and controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation. He determines the wages and compensation of the employees of Defendants, including Plaintiff Cantu, establishes the schedules of the employees, maintains employee records, and has the authority to hire and fire employees.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Defendants Constitute Joint Employers

- 20. Defendants operate a construction company located in a neighborhood in Queens.
- 21. Individual Defendant, Martin Joseph Bruton, possesses operational control over Defendant Corporation, possesses ownership interests in Defendant Corporation, or controls significant functions of Defendant Corporation.
- 22. Defendants are associated and joint employers, act in the interest of each other with respect to employees, pay employees by the same method, and share control over the employees.

- 23. Each Defendant possessed substantial control over Plaintiff Cantu's (and other similarly situated employees') working conditions, and over the policies and practices with respect to the employment and compensation of Plaintiff Cantu, and all similarly situated individuals, referred to herein.
- 24. Defendants jointly employed Plaintiff Cantu (and all similarly situated employees) and are Plaintiff Cantu's (and all similarly situated employees') employers within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. 201 *et seg.* and the NYLL.
- 25. In the alternative, Defendants constitute a single employer of Plaintiff Cantu and/or similarly situated individuals.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Individual Defendant, Martin Joseph Bruton, operates Defendant Corporation as either an alter ego of himself and/or fails to operate Defendant Corporation as an entity legally separate and apart from himself, by among other things:
 - a) failing to adhere to the corporate formalities necessary to operate Defendant Corporation as a Corporation,
 - b) defectively forming or maintaining the corporate entity of Defendant Corporation,
 by, amongst other things, failing to hold annual meetings or maintaining
 appropriate corporate records,
 - c) transferring assets and debts freely as between all Defendants,
 - d) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit as the sole or majority shareholder,
 - e) operating Defendant Corporation for his own benefit and maintaining control over this corporation as a closed Corporation,
 - f) intermingling assets and debts of his own with Defendant Corporation,

- g) diminishing and/or transferring assets of Defendant Corporation to avoid full liability as necessary to protect his own interests, and
- h) Other actions evincing a failure to adhere to the corporate form.
- 27. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiff Cantu's employers within the meaning of the FLSA and New York Labor Law. Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Cantu, controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for Plaintiff Cantu's services.
- 28. During 2023, Defendants, both separately and jointly, had a gross annual volume of sales of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level that are separately stated).
- 29. In addition, upon information and belief, Defendants and/or their enterprise were directly engaged in interstate commerce. As an example, numerous items that were used in the construction corporation on a daily basis are goods produced outside of the State of New York.

Individual Plaintiff

- 30. Plaintiff Cantu is a former employee of Defendants who was employed as a brick water proofer.
- 31. Plaintiff Cantu seeks to represent a class of similarly situated individuals under 29 U.S.C. 216(b).

Plaintiff Diego Cantu Guevara

- 32. Plaintiff Cantu was employed by Defendants from approximately June 5, 2023, until on or about November 21, 2023.
 - 33. Defendants employed Plaintiff Cantu as a brick water proofer.
- 34. Plaintiff Cantu regularly handled goods in interstate commerce, such as construction materials and other supplies produced outside the State of New York.

- 35. Plaintiff Cantu's work duties required neither discretion nor independent judgment.
- 36. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff Cantu regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per week.
- 37. From approximately June 5, 2023 until on or about November 21, 2023, Plaintiff Cantu worked from approximately 7:00 a.m. until on or about 3:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (typically 40 to 45 hours per week).
 - 38. Throughout his employment, Defendants paid Plaintiff Cantu his wages by check.
- 39. From approximately June 5, 2023, until on or about November 21, 2023, Defendants paid Plaintiff Cantu \$40.00 per hour.
- 40. For approximately six weeks, Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Cantu any wages for his work.
- 41. Plaintiff Cantu's pay did not vary even when he was required to stay later or work a longer day than his usual schedule.
- 42. For example, Defendants required Plaintiff Cantu to work an additional 2 to 3 hours past his scheduled departure time two days a week, and did not pay him for the additional time he worked.
- 43. Plaintiff Cantu was not required to keep track of his time, nor to his knowledge, did the Defendants utilize any time tracking device such as punch cards, that accurately reflected his actual hours worked.
- 44. No notification, either in the form of posted notices or other means, was ever given to Plaintiff Cantu regarding overtime and wages under the FLSA and NYLL.
- 45. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff Cantu an accurate statement of wages, as required by NYLL 195(3).

- 46. Defendants did not give any notice to Plaintiff Cantu, in English and in Nahuatl (Plaintiff Cantu's primary language), of his rate of pay, employer's regular pay day, and such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 47. As a result of Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff Cantu with a Notice of Pay Rate or accurate wage statements with each payment of wages, he was prevented from: (i) comparing his rate of pay to his hours worked; (ii) realizing that he was underpaid; and (iii) advocating for himself and/or taking appropriate action to obtain the payments due to him.
- 48. Defendants required Plaintiff Cantu to purchase "tools of the trade" with his own funds—including shoes, pants, shirt, gloves, goggles, masks, security vest, and helmet.

Defendants' General Employment Practices

- 49. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants maintained a policy and practice of requiring Plaintiff Cantu (and all similarly situated employees) to work in excess of 40 hours a week without paying him appropriate overtime compensation as required by federal and state laws.
- 50. Plaintiff Cantu was a victim of Defendants' common policy and practices which violate his rights under the FLSA and New York Labor Law by, *inter alia*, not paying him the wages he was owed for the hours he worked.
- 51. Defendants habitually required Plaintiff Cantu to work additional hours beyond his regular shifts but did not provide him with any additional compensation.
- 52. Defendants willfully disregarded and purposefully evaded recordkeeping requirements of the FLSA and NYLL by failing to maintain accurate and complete timesheets and payroll records.

- 53. Defendants failed to post at the workplace, or otherwise provide to employees, the required postings or notices to employees regarding the applicable wage and hour requirements of the FLSA and NYLL.
- 54. Upon information and belief, these practices by Defendants were done willfully to disguise the actual number of hours Plaintiff Cantu (and similarly situated individuals) worked, and to avoid paying Plaintiff Cantu properly for his full hours worked.
- 55. Defendants engaged in their unlawful conduct pursuant to a corporate policy of minimizing labor costs and denying employees compensation by knowingly violating the FLSA and NYLL.
- 56. Defendants' unlawful conduct was intentional, willful, in bad faith, and caused significant damages to Plaintiff Cantu and other similarly situated former workers.
- 57. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Cantu and other employees with accurate wage statements at the time of their payment of wages, containing: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL §195(3).
- 58. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Cantu and other employees, at the time of hiring and on or before February 1 of each subsequent year, a statement in English and the employees' primary language, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the

employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by New York Labor Law §195(1).

59. As a result of Defendants' failure to provide Plaintiff and other employees a Notice of Pay Rate or accurate wage statements with each payment of their wages, Plaintiff and other employees were prevented from: (i) comparing their rate of pay to their hours worked; (ii) realizing that they were underpaid; and (iii) advocating for themselves and/or taking appropriate action to obtain the payments due to them.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION CLAIMS

- 60. Plaintiff Cantu brings his FLSA overtime compensation and liquidated damages claims as a collective action pursuant to FLSA Section 16(b), 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), on behalf of all similarly situated persons (the "FLSA Class members"), i.e., persons who are or were employed by Defendants or any of them, on or after the date that is three years before the filing of the complaint in this case (the "FLSA Class Period").
- 61. At all relevant times, Plaintiff Cantu and other members of the FLSA Class were similarly situated in that they had substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, and have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay them the required overtime pay at a one and one-half their regular rates for work in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek under the FLSA and willfully failing to keep records under the FLSA.
 - The claims of Plaintiff Cantu stated herein are similar to those of the other employees. 62.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS OF THE FLSA

- 63. Plaintiff Cantu repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 64. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were Plaintiff Cantu's employers (and employers of the putative FLSA Class members) within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203(d). Defendants had the power to hire and fire Plaintiff Cantu (and the FLSA Class members), controlled the terms and conditions of employment, and determined the rate and method of any compensation in exchange for his employment.
- 65. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were engaged in commerce or in an industry or activity affecting commerce.
- 66. Defendants constitute an enterprise within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act. 29 U.S.C. § 203 (r-s).
- 67. Defendants, in violation of 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), failed to pay Plaintiff Cantu (and the FLSA Class members) overtime compensation at a rate of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 68. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Cantu (and the FLSA Class members), overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).
- 69. Plaintiff Cantu (and the FLSA Class members) were damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE OVERTIME PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 70. Plaintiff Cantu repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 71. Defendants, in violation of N.Y. Lab. Law § 190 et seq., and supporting regulations of the New York State Department of Labor, failed to pay Plaintiff Cantu overtime compensation at rates of one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a work week.
- 72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff Cantu overtime compensation was willful within the meaning of N.Y. Lab. Law § 663.
 - 73. Plaintiff Cantu was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE NOTICE AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 74. Plaintiff Cantu repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Cantu with a written notice, in English, containing: the rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage, including tip, meal, or lodging allowances; the regular pay day designated by the employer; the name of the employer; any "doing business as" names used by the employer; the physical address of the employer's main office or principal place of business, and a mailing address if different; and the telephone number of the employer, as required by NYLL §195(1).
- 76. As a result of Defendants' failure to furnish an accurate wage notice to Plaintiff, Defendants violated the NYLL and/or applicable regulations thereunder, including, inter alia, NYLL

§ 195.

77. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Cantu in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE WAGE STATEMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 78. Plaintiff Cantu repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 79. With each payment of wages, Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff Cantu with an accurate statement listing each of the following: the dates of work covered by that payment of wages; name of employee; name of employer; address and phone number of employer; rate or rates of pay and basis thereof, whether paid by the hour, shift, day, week, salary, piece, commission, or other; gross wages; deductions; allowances, if any, claimed as part of the minimum wage; net wages; the regular hourly rate or rates of pay; the overtime rate or rates of pay; the number of regular hours worked; and the number of overtime hours worked, as required by NYLL 195(3).
- 80. As a result of Defendants' failure to furnish accurate statements to Plaintiff, Defendants violated the NYLL and/or applicable regulations thereunder, including, *inter alia*, NYLL § 195.
- 81. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Cantu in the amount of \$5,000, together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

RECOVERY OF EQUIPMENT COSTS

- 82. Plaintiff Cantu repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein.
- 83. Defendants required Plaintiff Cantu to pay, without reimbursement, the costs and expenses for purchasing and maintaining equipment and "tools of the trade" required to perform his job, further reducing his wages in violation of the FLSA and NYLL. 29 U.S.C. § 206(a); 29 C.F.R. § 531.35; N.Y. Lab. Law §§ 193 and 198-b.
 - 84. Plaintiff Cantu was damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE TIMELY PAYMENT PROVISIONS

OF THE NEW YORK LABOR LAW

- 85. Plaintiff Cantu repeats and realleges all paragraphs above as though set forth fully herein.
- 86. Defendants did not pay Plaintiff Cantu on a regular weekly basis, in violation of NYLL §191.
 - 87. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff Cantu in an amount to be determined at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Cantu respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Defendants by:

(a) Designating this action as a collective action and authorizing prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all putative class members apprising them of the pendency

of this action, and permitting them to promptly file consents to be Plaintiffs in the FLSA claims in this action;

- (b) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA as to Plaintiff Cantu and the FLSA Class members;
- Declaring that Defendants violated the recordkeeping requirements of, and (c) associated rules and regulations under, the FLSA with respect to Plaintiff Cantu's and the FLSA Class members' compensation, hours, wages, and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the FLSA were willful (d) as to Plaintiff Cantu and the FLSA Class members;
- (e) Awarding Plaintiff Cantu and the FLSA Class members damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable;
- Awarding Plaintiff Cantu and the FLSA Class members liquidated damages in an (f) amount equal to 100% of his damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, and damages for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages under the FLSA as applicable pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- (g) Declaring that Defendants violated the overtime wage provisions of, and rules and orders promulgated under, the NYLL as to Plaintiff Cantu;
- (h) Declaring that Defendants violated the timely payment provisions of the NYLL as to Plaintiff Cantu;
- Awarding Plaintiff liquated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (i) (100%) of the total amount of wages shown to be untimely, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interests pursuant to NYLL §191(1)(a), 198;

- (j) Declaring that Defendants violated the notice and recordkeeping requirements of the NYLL with respect to Plaintiff Cantu's compensation, hours, wages and any deductions or credits taken against wages;
- (k) Declaring that Defendants' violations of the provisions of the NYLL were willful as to Plaintiff Cantu;
- (l) Awarding Plaintiff Cantu damages for the amount of unpaid overtime compensation, and for any improper deductions or credits taken against wages as applicable
- (m) Awarding Plaintiff Cantu damages for Defendants' violation of the NYLL notice and recordkeeping provisions, pursuant to NYLL §§198(1-b), 198(1-d);
- (n) Awarding Plaintiff Cantu liquidated damages in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the total amount of overtime compensation shown to be owed pursuant to NYLL § 663 as applicable; and liquidated damages pursuant to NYLL § 198(3);
- (o) Awarding Plaintiff Cantu and the FLSA Class members pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as applicable;
- (p) Awarding Plaintiff Cantu and the FLSA Class members the expenses incurred in this action, including costs and attorneys' fees;
- (q) Providing that if any amounts remain unpaid upon the expiration of ninety days following issuance of judgment, or ninety days after expiration of the time to appeal and no appeal is then pending, whichever is later, the total amount of judgment shall automatically increase by fifteen percent, as required by NYLL § 198(4); and
 - (r) All such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Cantu demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: New York, New York

March 12, 2025

CSM LEGAL, P.C

By: /s/ Catalina Sojo, Esq.

Catalina Sojo [CS-5779517]
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4510
New York, New York 10165
Telephone: (212) 317-1200
Facsimile: (212) 317-1620
Attorneys for Plaintiff

CSM Legal, P.C. **Employment and Litigation Attorneys**

60 E 42nd Street, Suite 4510 New York, New York 10165

Telephone: (212) 317-1200 Facsimile: (212) 317-1620

catalina@csmlegal.com

January 4, 2024

BY HAND

TO: Clerk of Court,

I hereby consent to join this lawsuit as a party plaintiff. (Yo, por medio de este documento, doy mi consentimiento para formar parte de la demanda como uno de los demandantes.)

Name / Nombre:

Diego Cantu Guevara

Legal Representative / Abogado:

CSM Legal, P.C.

Signature / Firma:

4 de enero 2024 Date / Fecha: